External Consultation Responses – Lendal Arch Gyratory

This scheme has used a Level 2 consultation process, in line with the document 'TSAR – Consultation Strategy'. Comments from internal stakeholders have been incorporated into the design process and are omitted from this table.

The following table summarises the consultation responses from external stakeholders as defined in the strategy. If a consultee is not listed, no comment has been received to date.

Consultee	Comment	Designer Response
York Blind and	Very supportive of the option that provides two	Pleased with positive feedback of
Partially Sighted	straight across crossings. This is seen as a positive	preferred option.
Society	change because:	The preferred design allows the
	- seen as safer and pedestrian friendly	cycle time and green man facility
(Rougier St / Lendal	- crossing perpendicular to roads	to be adjusted to any value after
Bridge junction)	- larger waiting areas	construction. These values will be
	- better placing of push buttons	monitored and adjusted by the
	- crossing moved off the worst of the slope	Network Monitoring Officers when
	Additional comments include:	the scheme is live to achieve the
	- Look to decrease cycle time to give higher priority	best balance between pedestrian
	to peds	priority and vehicular delays.
	- Look to increase green man time	
York Blind and	Supportive of the introduction of a new pedestrian	New pedestrian crossing included
Partially Sighted	crossing. At present blind and partially sighted	in Exec report as an option.
Society	person avoid this crossing due to the lack of	As above, green man facilities
	facilities.	can be adjusted after the scheme
		is installed by the Network

(Station Road / Station	Supportive of the option for the main junction.	Monitoring Officers, who will find
Rise junction)	Request increased green man time and pedestrian	the best balance between
	priority	pedestrian priority and vehicular
		delays.